MEMO ON ALTGENS PICTURE:

I have known of this ever since Six Seconds appeared but have continually regreated to mention it. I but it down now and ask for compant.

Thompson on v. 114 prints details of the windshield on the limpusine in Altrens taken at 3255 and the one sion is, JFK on trunk. The latter definitely shows the cracks in the windshield out the former, according to link, does not. I disagree. We must rescale that the WC photo of the cracks was taken at a time when, according to mellormen, they had a chance to "spider", or spread out. He said (and I forget the exact reference) that the cracks were constantly expanding as the car was driven (to disport, from eigent). So, when the windshield was first struck, probably only the beauter of the cracks would have been there. "e must also remove that the cracks will not appear in certain light.

However, if we compare the configuration and position of the cracks in CE 350 with the windshield in Altgens at 255, we can sac that there does appear a similar mark at that precise location on the glass (to the right of and slightly above the mirror). Close experimation shows that this mark possesses about 4 crosshotehad AMR lines eminating from its center. I have been able to associate rate with nothing in the background of the picture and am rather persuaded that it is in fact the mark on the windshield. If this is so, it is conclusive proof that a bullet was fired into the car prior to 255 which did frequent.

I am especially interested in knowing whether anyone can discern if what I see as the serious actually part of a background figure, or if there is unstring to add to my observations.